Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/678,725	LAM, ROBERT C.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter Y. Choi	1771	

	Peter Y. Choi	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MANENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	`	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,4,5,7,9,14,15 and 17-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		ndrew T Piziali/ imary Examiner, Art	Unit 1771

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant only argues that the prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed inventions. As set forth in the Final Rejection of April 25, 2007, sections 4-12, the prior art appears to disclose the claimed limitations and the claimed inventions. Applicant argues that the proportions of high fiber content stated in the 35 USC 103 rejection of Lam ('176) in view of Bortz are not within the ordinary level of skill in the art. However, the fiber content appears to be taught in the Lam ('176) reference (Lam, Abstract, column 8 line 63 to column 9 line 2, column 7 lines 46-50).

/Peter Y. Choi/ Examiner, Art Unit 1771